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**Background:** Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) is a dominantly inherited disease with a high prevalence of pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (PAVMs). The first symptom of HHT may be stroke or fatal hemoptysis associated with the presence of PAVM.

**Objective:** To evaluate different screening methods applied for the identification of PAVMs.

**Setting:** Odense University Hospital.

**Subjects:** HHT patients with positive findings on contrast echocardiography (CE) who participated in a screening investigation and underwent pulmonary angiography (PA).

**Methods:** Different screening methods were evaluated against the results of PA. In a group of patients with positive findings on CE, we compared results of PA with the following: severity of dyspnea; results of pulse oximetry arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂) supine and upright; supine PaO₂ in room air and while breathing 100% oxygen; size of arteriovenous shunt in supine position; chest radiograph; and intensity of contrast at CE.

**Results:** PA was performed in 25 HHT patients with positive findings on CE, 15 of whom had PAVMs. Embolization therapy was recommended in 12 patients, and 3 patients had small PAVMs not accessible for therapy. In 10 patients, PAVM could not be demonstrated at PA. The sensitivity and specificity calculated for the screening procedures are as follows: 53% and 90%, respectively, for SaO₂; 60% and 100%, respectively, for chest radiograph; 73% and 80%, respectively, for PaO₂ in room air; 100% and 40%, respectively, for PaO₂ breathing 100% oxygen; and 64% and 80%, respectively, for shunt measurement.

**Conclusion:** Initial screening with CE followed by measurement of PaO₂ while breathing 100% oxygen seemed to be the best screening procedure for identification of patients with PAVM. Screening with chest radiograph and pulse oximetry was shown to be insufficient.

(CHEST 1999; 116:432–439)
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---

Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (PAVMs) are direct communications between pulmonary arteries and pulmonary veins, resulting in an anatomic right-to-left shunt that reduces the arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂). Because of the invalidation of the filter function of the lung, paradoxical embolization may occur, resulting in neurologic complications such as stroke or cerebral abscess. These conditions may even be the first clinical manifestation of PAVM.¹ Patients with large shunts are hypoxicemic and may experience dyspnea, clubbing, and polycythemia. Hemoptysis is quite common and may be fatal.² PAVMs may be present at birth, but in most cases they remain unrecognized until the late teenage years. Studies suggest that PAVMs grow during life.³ Their proliferation can cause rapid
deterioration in physical capacity, especially during pregnancy or shortly after childbirth.3

The prevalence of PAVMs among patients with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) has been estimated to be 15 to 33%,3,5,6, screening for PAVM, with the purpose of preventing complications, is therefore relevant in this group of patients. The aim of the present investigation was to evaluate different screening methods for identification of PAVM in a population of HHT patients with a high prevalence of PAVM, in order to assess the sensitivity of the tests, as well as the ease and safety of application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All HHT patients identified during a comprehensive epidemiologic survey in the county of Fyn, Denmark (population approximately 500,000)2 and their first-degree relatives (age > 18 years) were offered screening for PAVM with contrast echocardiography (CE). The HHT diagnosis was based on the following: (1) presence of multiple (> 15) telangiectatic lesions and (2) either a family history of bleeding or recurrent episodes of bleeding. The criterion of heredity was considered to be met if the presence of telangiectatic lesions in at least one first-degree relative could be demonstrated. For the recurrent bleeding criterion, telangiectatic lesions at the bleeding site were required. Patients with positive findings on CE were offered pulmonary angiography (PA). Selective biplane PA was performed in 25 HHT patients, and these patients constitute the study population of the present investigation.

All the patients underwent a clinical examination, and they were all asked about their physical capacity. The degree of dyspnea was rated according to the New York Heart Association (NYHA) class I to IV criteria.8

CE was performed with a 2.5-MHz transducer (SSA-270A; Toshiba Medical Systems; Tokyo, Japan). The clearest possible apical four-chamber image was obtained with the individual in the left lateral decubitus position. Simultaneously during the 2-day recording session, double M-mode recordings were obtained to facilitate detection and timing of contrast appearance. The echo contrast, a 10 mL 3.5% polygelin solution (Hemaccel; Hoechst Marion Roussel; Frankfurt, Germany), was agitated vigorously and injected rapidly into a peripheral vein while the patient was breathing quietly. Injections that did not result in readily observable contrast in the right-sided chambers were repeated. Delayed appearance of the contrast in the left-sided chambers (ie, three to five heart cycles after the appearance in the right-sided chambers) was deemed to indicate presence of a pulmonary shunt. In an attempt to quantify the shunt size, the contrast intensity in the left-sided heart chambers was rated subjectively from 0 to 4, with 0 indicating no visible contrast and 4 indicating equal contrast intensities in the left-sided and right-sided chambers.

Standard chest radiographs (anteroposterior and lateral views) were taken at maximum inspiration with the subject in the standing position. Chest radiographs were evaluated by the radiologist, who performed the PA procedures.

Pulse oximetry was performed in all subjects (model N20; Nellcor; Hayward, CA) with the patient breathing room air, in both the supine and upright positions. The sensor was placed on a finger tip, and the SaO2 was recorded after a minimum of 2 min of quiet breathing. An SaO2 < 96% and/or a change in SaO2 of two or more percentage points on changing posture was considered abnormal.

Measurement of the PaO2 in the supine position was performed with the patient breathing room air and after breathing 100% oxygen. The results obtained on room air were compared with age-adjusted reference values.9

Shunt measurements were performed during PA prior to contrast injection. Blood samples were obtained simultaneously from the femoral artery and from the pulmonary artery after the patient had been breathing 100% oxygen for 15 min using a mouthpiece and a noseclip. Sampling of blood from the pulmonary artery allowed exact measurement of the oxygen content in the mixed venous blood. The fraction of the pulmonary shunt was calculated according to the equation:

\[ \frac{Qs}{Qt} = \left( \frac{Cco2 - Cao2}{Cco2 - Cvco2} \right) \]

where \( Qs \) is the shunt flow, \( Qt \) is the total pulmonary flow, \( Cao2 \) is the arterial oxygen content, \( Cvco2 \) is the oxygen content in mixed venous blood, and \( Cco2 \) is the estimated oxygen content at the postalveolar end of the pulmonary capillary calculated from the alveolar air equation, assuming no alveolar-arterial oxygen tension difference.

RESULTS

Study Population

Twenty-five patients (14 men and 11 women) were included in the study and had PA performed (mean age, 44 years; range, 25 to 75 years). All fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for HHT and had positive findings on CE.

In 15 patients (8 men and 7 women), at least one PAVM was demonstrated at PA. Twelve of these patients had PAVMs with feeding vessels > 3 mm and were referred for embolotherapy, while 3 other patients had smaller PAVMs. All clinical data are shown in Table 1. Calculations of the sensitivity and specificity of the various screening procedures are shown in Table 2. The predictive values of positive and negative test results are also shown in Table 2.

Dyspnea

Patients with PAVMs reported severe dyspnea more often than patients without PAVM, but the patients’ own experiences of dyspnea did not correlate very well with the shunt size. In one patient (patient 23), no information about dyspnea could be obtained because the patient had hemiparesis and was confined to a wheelchair.

CE

Of the 24 patients who underwent CE, 14 patients (55%) had PAVMs confirmed at PA. In one patient (patient 23), CE was not performed because the PAVM was diagnosed on chest radiograph. Contrast intensity at CE prior to PA could be evaluated in 23
patients; in 1 patient, the CE videotape unfortunately was lost. Five of the patients with PAVM demonstrated at PA (38%) were rated as having grade 4 intensity of contrast, whereas none of the patients with normal PA findings were so rated. The sensitivity of CE could not be evaluated from the present study because PA was not offered to patients with negative CE results.

**Chest Radiography**

PAVMs could be diagnosed on chest radiographs in only 9 of the 15 patients with PAVMs on PA. Of the six patients with PAVMs on PA and negative chest radiographs, three had PAVMs with feeding vessels > 3 mm demonstrated at PA. All 10 patients with normal PA findings had normal chest radiographs. When PA is considered the “gold standard” for identification of PAVMs, the sensitivity of chest radiographs was 60% while the specificity was 100%.

**Pulse Oximetry**

In nine patients (36%), SaO₂ was abnormal (eight patients had values < 96% in at least one position; in patient 5, the values changed by 2%, from 100% to 98%, on changing posture). All eight patients with a low SaO₂ had PAVMs diagnosed via PA, while the patient with high values but a 2% fall on posture change had normal PA findings. Among the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Age, yr/ Gender</th>
<th>SaO₂, % (Supine/Upright)</th>
<th>Dyspnea</th>
<th>NYHA Class</th>
<th>CE Contrast Intensity</th>
<th>PAVM at CXR</th>
<th>PaO₂, mm Hg (Air/Ref/100% O₂)</th>
<th>Shunt, %</th>
<th>PAVM on PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>96/97</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>62/90/413</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>98/98</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>116/89/507</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31/M</td>
<td>97/98</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90/57/508</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32/F</td>
<td>95/92</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>73/57/273</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>RML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>98/100</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>98/57/544</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33/M</td>
<td>98/99</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>95/57/476</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>34/M</td>
<td>98/99</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>97/57/508</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35/F</td>
<td>88/86</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54/56/94</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RLL x 3, RML x 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>98/98</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80/86/407</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>LUL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>99/99</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80/84/454</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>RML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>98/99</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>107/84/281</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>95/95</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87/84/389</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>RML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43/M</td>
<td>97/96</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>73/82/397</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>LLL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>44/M</td>
<td>94/92</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>69/82/142</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LLL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>46/F</td>
<td>99/100</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>73/81/403</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>47/M</td>
<td>98/97</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>79/81/412</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>LLL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>99/99</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>84/80/450</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>50/M</td>
<td>95/96</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>98/78/488</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>RLL x 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>96/97</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>72/78/261</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>RUL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>55/F</td>
<td>98/99</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>98/78/442</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>93/91</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55/77/143</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>RUL, RML, RLL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>57/F</td>
<td>97/98</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>93/76/336</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>LUL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>94/94</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>74/75/211</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>LUL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>98/99</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>87/74/—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>RLL x 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>75/F</td>
<td>92/—</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>62/69/141</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>RLL x 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PAVM at CXR = PAVM demonstrated on chest radiograph; Ref = calculated age-adjusted normal PaO₂ value; RML = right middle lobe; RLL = right lower lobe; LUL = left upper lobe; LLL = left lower lobe; RUL = right upper lobe; M = male; F = female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive Predictive Value</th>
<th>Negative Predictive Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SaO₂ &lt; 96%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest radiography</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>100 estimated</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ &lt; 500 mm Hg at 100% oxygen</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ &lt; age-adjusted normal value⁵</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunt &gt; 15%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PA demonstrating all PAVMs serves as the “gold standard.” All figures are percentages.
with normal \( \text{SaO}_2 \), seven had PAVMs demonstrated at PA. The sensitivity of pulse oximetry was 53% and the specificity was 90%. Figure 1 shows the distribution of \( \text{SaO}_2 \) values in the supine position. The results obtained in the upright position were comparable.

**Arterial Blood Gas Analysis**

In 24 patients, analysis of \( \text{PaO}_2 \) while breathing 100% oxygen was performed (Fig 2). When we selected a high cut-off value with a \( \text{PaO}_2 \) of 500 mm Hg, the sensitivity of \( \text{PaO}_2 \) was 100% and the specificity was 40%. In all 25 participants, \( \text{PaO}_2 \) was measured while patients breathed room air, and the values were compared with age-adjusted reference values. The sensitivity was 73% and the specificity was 80%. The results are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2; in Figure 2, the distribution of \( \text{PaO}_2 \) values in patients with and without PA-documented PAVMs is shown.

**Shunt Size**

The pulmonary shunt size was calculated in 24 patients. The results of the comparison between shunt size and PA are shown in Figure 3. With a cut-off value of 15%, the sensitivity was 64% and the specificity was 80%.

**DISCUSSION**

Unexplained dyspnea, cyanosis, or reduced physical capacity may be the only clues to identify individuals with PAVM. Establishing the diagnosis of PAVM is important in both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals because treatment will reduce the risk of paradoxical embolus and cerebral abscess, and improve the well-being of symptomatic individuals.\(^1\),\(^10\),\(^11\) Treatment with embolotherapy is recommended if the diameter of the PAVM feeding vessel is > 3 mm.\(^12\) Various screening protocols from different institutions have been suggested. At Hammer smith Hospital, pulse oximetry, chest radiography, and lung perfusion scanning using \( ^{99m} \text{Tc-macroaggregated albumin} \) are recommended.\(^13\) At Yale University, initial screening with CE, chest radiography, and shunt study is recommended, followed by helical CT scanning.\(^14\) Haitjema et al\(^6\) performed initial screening with chest radiographs and room-air arterial blood gas analysis.

The present study was designed to evaluate different screening procedures. For initial screening, we used CE, which is highly sensitive and therefore is believed to identify all PAVMs.\(^15\)–\(^20\) Patients with negative findings on CE were, for ethical reasons, not investigated further. A number of patients with positive findings on CE declined referral for PA, either because they had no pulmonary symptoms at all or because their general health was poor. Because of selection bias, the prevalence of PAVM demonstrated by PA in the present study is not representative of the prevalence of PAVM in HHT patients in general.

PA is used to identify embolizable PAVMs and, from a therapeutic standpoint, PA can be considered a reference method. PA findings, including all demonstrated PAVMs, were chosen as the gold standard in the calculations of sensitivity and specificity. How-

![Figure 1. Distribution of supine \( \text{SaO}_2 \) values in patients with and without PAVMs.](image)
ever, widespread, diffuse minute PAVMs, which may cause significant shunt but cannot be identified with PA, have been described.\textsuperscript{21–23} Thus, even PA cannot be considered the ultimate reference for identification of PAVM. This may very well explain why 10 of our patients had positive findings on CE and a measurable shunt, even though PAVM was not demonstrated by PA. Of the 15 patients in whom at least one PAVM was demonstrated, 12 patients had PAVMs with feeding vessels $>3$ mm. Embolization was recommended and subsequently carried out in our institution.\textsuperscript{24}

Available methods for shunt detection include radioisotope angiography with labeled microspheres,\textsuperscript{25} measurement of oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry, arterial blood gas analysis,\textsuperscript{12,13,21,22} and CE.\textsuperscript{26,27} They are all minimally invasive and can be performed on an outpatient basis. The disadvantage is that the shunt size and the morphology are not described. CE is the only shunt-detecting method that can differentiate between a cardiac and a pulmonary shunt.

Since the late 1960s, it has been well documented that microbubbles introduced in a peripheral vein produce intracardiac ultrasonic contrast.\textsuperscript{15,16} In the present study, we used Hemaccel, which is a well-established contrast agent.\textsuperscript{16,28–30} When echo contrast is injected into a peripheral vein in healthy subjects, microbubbles $>8$ $\mu$m are sieved by the pulmonary capillaries, whereas smaller microbubbles

**Figure 2.** Distribution of arterial PaO$_2$ in patients with and without PAVMs. Top: values while breathing room air. Bottom: values while breathing 100% oxygen.
dissolve in the pulmonary circulation before they reach the left side of the heart,17–20 making CE a highly sensitive screening procedure for the detection of PAVM.15 In two studies of children with heart disease, PAVM was diagnosed in 2 of 889 patients and 18 of 82 patients, respectively.23,31 PAVM was diagnosed in 3 of 167 adults studied with transesophageal CE to assess patency of foramen ovale.32 No false-positive outcomes were reported in any of these studies.

In the present study, we correlated the intensity of echo contrast with the results of PA. Patients with PAVMs did seem to have a higher contrast intensity, and all five patients who had grade-4 contrast intensity had PAVMs demonstrated at PA. However, the rating of contrast intensity is not an objective parameter and can only be used semiquantitatively. PAVM was not verified at PA in 42% of our patients who had positive CE findings; these patients represent false-positive test results with respect to the need for therapy. The majority of these patients had reduced PaO₂ while breathing either room air or 100% oxygen, and their positive CE findings are probably related to microscopic arteriovenous malformations.

In clinical practice, when dealing with a population at high risk of potentially hazardous complications, it is very important to use a highly sensitive method to exclude those patients who are not at risk from undergoing further and more invasive procedures. Because all patients with significant PAVMs are likely to be identified by CE,15 this procedure seems to fulfill this criterion.

Pulse oximetry with the patient breathing room air has the advantage of being easily applicable, even at home. The disadvantage is that patients with hypoxemia from causes other than pulmonary shunting have false-positive test results with respect to PAVM. Furthermore, false-negative test results are common because the development of PAVM may be a regional phenomenon, and the impact of regional arterial desaturation may be blunted by the mixture of blood from other parts of the lungs.23 With the SaO₂ cut-off value used in the present study, 96%, we failed to diagnose PAVMs in seven patients.

Because PAVMs are typically located in the basal parts of the pulmonary circulation, a decrease in PaO₂ caused by PAVM is most pronounced in the upright position in the majority of patients.21,33 Posture-related changes in SaO₂ were observed in only five patients; in one of them, PAVM was not detected by PA, whereas the other four (patients 4, 8, 14, and 21) had large PAVMs in the lower lobes. In the majority of patients, we did not observe large variations in SaO₂ on changing posture.

Our results indicated a high sensitivity of PaO₂ measurements with the patients breathing 100% oxygen, because all patients with PAVMs were identified. However, the rather high cut-off value of 500 mm Hg also produced many false-positive results. The results of room-air PaO₂ measurements were compared with an age-adjusted reference value.9 The screening failed to diagnose PAVM in four patients, and embolotherapy was recommended for all four. Evaluation of the results obtained in the upright position did not alter the conclusion. The discomfort of arterial puncture and the low specificity may disqualify room-air PaO₂ measurements as an initial screening procedure.

Measurement of shunt size was performed just prior to PA with the patient in the supine position. In previous shunt studies using the 100% oxygen method, the venous oxygen content was estimated.6,14,34 In the present study, mixed venous blood could be sampled in the pulmonary artery, allowing a more precise shunt calculation. We calculated the shunt assuming that there was no alveolar-arterial oxygen tension difference. All 25 patients had shunts > 5%, although PAVMs were demonstrated at PA in only 15 patients. These results indicate that small PAVMs, which cannot be demonstrated by means of PA, may be common in HHT patients. The significance of the microscopic shunts needs further inves-
tigation. The present study gave no indication as to whether a small PAVM will increase in size over the long term, or whether patients with microscopic PAVMs have an increased risk of paradoxical embolus, either bacterial or bland thrombus. These issues also need further study.

The degree of dyspnea was recorded according to the NYHA classification. The severity of self-reported dyspnea did not correlate very well with the size of the shunt or with the measured PaO₂. These findings may partly be explained by the fact that patients with PAVM are used to having a reduced physical capacity. Three of the four patients who reported severe dyspnea had PAVMs. The degree of self-reported dyspnea has limited relevance in screening for PAVM.

Standard chest radiographs may demonstrate PAVM as a pulmonary mass connected by enlarged arteries and veins. Unfortunately, many PAVMs remain undetected by this method because they are located posteriorly in the lung behind the diaphragm, or they may be hidden in the hilar region. In such cases, the diagnosis may be difficult, even for skilled radiologists. Furthermore, the malformation may resemble, and be mistaken for, a neoplasm or a tuberculoma. In one of our patients, chest investigation may resemble, and be mistaken for, a neoplasm.

Clinical Investigations

The NYHA classification. The severity of self-reported dyspnea has limited relevance in screening for PAVM.

Standard chest radiographs may demonstrate PAVM as a pulmonary mass connected by enlarged arteries and veins. Unfortunately, many PAVMs remain undetected by this method because they are located posteriorly in the lung behind the diaphragm, or they may be hidden in the hilar region. In such cases, the diagnosis may be difficult, even for skilled radiologists. Furthermore, the malformation may resemble, and be mistaken for, a neoplasm or a tuberculoma. In one of our patients, chest radiographic findings had previously been misinterpreted as cancer at the referring hospital; the diagnosis was corrected at the university hospital. In the present study, the sensitivity of the chest radiograph was only 60%: the PAVM diagnosis was missed in six patients even though the radiographs were evaluated by a specialist in radiology on the look out for PAVM. With these results, we could not confirm the results from an earlier Dutch study in which the results of PA and chest radiography were compared in 98 HHT patients, and the sensitivity and specificity of standard chest radiography were 83.3% and 91.7%, respectively. Therefore, we recommend that a negative findings on chest radiograph in an HHT patient should be followed by more sensitive screening procedures.

Helical CT scanning seems to a be very promising method in establishing the PAVM diagnosis. Helical CT scanning was not considered in the present study, because it was not available at our institution at that time. The screening procedures recommended are shown in Figure 4.

Conclusion

Screening for PAVMs among HHT patients is highly recommended because a PAVM may cause potentially hazardous complications. A screening protocol should be able to safely identify all PAVMs, with the smallest possible number of patients proceeding to extensive investigations (PA in this study). We have evaluated different screening procedures and conclude that CE followed by measurement of PaO₂ after breathing 100% oxygen fulfills these requirements. However, a high cut-off value (500 mm Hg) for PaO₂ measurements is recommended. Our results also indicate that screening with chest radiography, pulse oximetry, and room-air PaO₂ is insufficient and cannot be recommended.
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